

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ELIZABETH PETERSON, AMANDA  
CARLTON, REBECCA HIRSCH,  
MICHELE O’DELL, and PRASANNA  
RAMAKRISHNAN, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BSH HOME APPLIANCES  
CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-00543-RAJ

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS’  
UNOPPOSED MOTION AND  
MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN  
SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR  
ATTORNEYS’ FEES, COSTS, AND  
SERVICE AWARDS TO  
REPRESENTATIVE PLAINTIFFS**

1 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Application for  
2 Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Awards for Representative Plaintiffs. On June 13, 2024,  
3 the Court held a Final Approval Hearing to determine whether Settlement Class Counsel's  
4 request for fees was reasonable, and whether to award Settlement Class Counsel's costs as  
5 well as the requested Service Awards to Settlement Class Representatives.

6 AND NOW, this 13th day of June, 2024, upon careful consideration of Plaintiffs'  
7 Unopposed Motion and Memorandum of Law in Support of Application for Attorneys' Fees,  
8 Costs, and Service Awards for Representative Plaintiffs it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

9 **1. Incorporation of Final Approval Order.**

10 This Order incorporates by this reference all background and defined terms in  
11 Plaintiffs' Order Granting Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion and Memorandum of Law in Support  
12 of Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.

13 **2. Attorneys' Fees and Costs.**

14 Settlement Class Counsel has not been paid for their extensive efforts or reimbursed for  
15 litigation costs and expenses incurred. Plaintiffs have requested \$725,000 for attorneys' fees  
16 and costs, and service awards for Settlement Class Representative Plaintiffs. After deducting  
17 litigation costs of \$32,272.30, and Service Awards of \$2,500 for each Settlement Class  
18 Representative (for a total of \$12,500), Settlement Class Counsel sought approval of  
19 \$680,727.70 in fees. As detailed in Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion and Memorandum of Law  
20 in Support of Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Service Awards to Representative  
21 Plaintiffs, and in Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion and Memorandum of Law in Support of Final  
22 Approval of Class Action Settlement, this represents 34.01% of the \$2,000,000 value of the  
23 Settlement, and a 1.04% lodestar multiplier. Given the facts of this case, the Court concludes  
24 that under either a percentage-of-the-recovery analysis, or the lodestar analysis, the requested  
25 fee award is reasonable and should be approved. Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion and  
26 Memorandum of Law in Support of Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service  
27  
28

1 Awards for Representative Plaintiffs is hereby **GRANTED** and the requested award of  
2 \$32,272.30 in costs and \$680,227.70 in attorneys' fees is approved.

3  
4 **3. The Court Awards Service Awards to Representative Plaintiffs.**

5 The Settlement Agreement provides \$2,500 to each Class Representative as a Service  
6 Award for their dedication to this litigation. Plaintiffs devoted time in the oversight of, and  
7 participation in, the litigation on behalf of the Class. The Court finds that these awards are  
8 reasonable and should be approved, as the amounts are well within the range of awards  
9 customarily approved by Courts in the Ninth Circuit. Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion and  
10 Memorandum of Law in Support of Application for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service  
11 Awards for Representative Plaintiffs is hereby **GRANTED** and the requested service awards  
12 for Representative Plaintiffs in the amount of \$2,500 are approved.

13 For the reasons discussed above, the Court finds that the requested service awards for  
14 Settlement Class Representatives are appropriate, and that the requested award of attorneys'  
15 fees and costs is reasonable based on both a percentage-of-the-fund and lodestar basis.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 DATED this 13th day of June, 2024.

18   
19

20 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28